**Project 6 -- Final Project (101.5/100)**

**Documentation (9.5/10)**

· Readme is phenomenal

· Good git commits

· In code documentation is adequate **-0.5**

o None in the models, and more could be explained in the controllers.

**Correctness + Testing (29/30)**

· Students can assign evaluations to themselves and submit multiple evaluations for the same project.

· In the instructor view, when viewing “grades of team” it says that the student a grade is for is the same as the student who submitted the grade. Both always show the same user.

o The student for “graded by” is incorrect here.

· All other functionality is good.

· Testing is very good, many cases and assertions. 1 error, not worried about that.

**Functionality/Features (29/25)**

· Deployed to Heroku successfully **+5**.

· Login functionality is effective and properly enforced.

o I can not manually go to edit a user that I am not signed in as!

o Email capability is cool.

· Support for multiple courses, teams, and projects.

· Four classes of users, much more than the two required.

· User about page has significant helpful information

o Courses, teams, count of received and posted grades.

· Scoring mechanics are minimal.

o No explanation of the grading range, and any valid integer can be entered.

**Aesthetics (9/10)**

· The header and footer are great!

· Website overall is clean, easy to navigate.

· Course / Team / Project creation pages are great. Textboxes and selection of people is good.

· Text is small and difficult to read on some pages, not very professional. Some examples. **-1**

o Looking at a specific course.

o Looking at a specific project.

o Looking at all grades.

**Style – HTML/CSS/JS (8/8)**

· Good job

**Style - Ruby (7/7)**

· Good job

**Design - HTML/CSS/JS (5/5)**

· Good job

**Design - Ruby/Rails (5/5)**

· Good job